



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2013

Pediatric papillary tumors of the pineal region: to observe or to treat following gross total resection?

Abela, Lucia ; Rushing, Elisabeth Jane ; Ares, Carmen ; Scheer, Ianina ; Bozinov, Oliver ; Boltshauser, Eugen ; Grotzer, Michael A

Abstract: INTRODUCTION: Papillary tumors of the pineal region (PTPR) are rare brain tumors characterized by frequent local recurrences. Standardized treatment strategies are not yet defined. **CASE REPORT:** We present the case of a 3-year-old girl diagnosed with PTPR. Due to her young age, adjuvant radiotherapy was omitted after gross total tumor resection. Thirty-six months later, local tumor recurrence occurred. Considering the possible risks of secondary surgery, the recurrent tumor was irradiated with proton radiotherapy. Three months later, the tumor showed near-complete remission. **DISCUSSION:** Based on this experience and other pediatric case reports from the literature, local radiotherapy might be suggested also after complete tumor resection.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-012-1935-1>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich
ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-69983>
Journal Article

Originally published at:

Abela, Lucia; Rushing, Elisabeth Jane; Ares, Carmen; Scheer, Ianina; Bozinov, Oliver; Boltshauser, Eugen; Grotzer, Michael A (2013). Pediatric papillary tumors of the pineal region: to observe or to treat following gross total resection? *Child's Nervous System*, 29(2):307-310.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-012-1935-1>

Pediatric papillary tumors of the pineal region: To observe or to treat following gross total resection?

Lucia Abela, MD,¹ Elisabeth Jane Rushing, MD,² Carmen Ares, MD,³ Ianina Scheer, MD,⁴
Oliver Bozinov, MD,⁵ Eugen Boltshauser, MD,⁶ Michael A. Grotzer, MD,^{1§}

Departments of ¹Oncology, ⁴Diagnostic Imaging and ⁶Neurology, University Children's
Hospital of Zurich, Switzerland; ²Institute of Neuropathology, University of Zurich; ³Paul
Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland; ⁵Neurosurgery, University Hospital of Zurich

§Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. med. Michael A. Grotzer

University Children's Hospital

Steinwiesstrasse 75

CH-8032 Zurich, Switzerland

Phone: +41 44 266 71 11

Fax: +41 44 266 71 71

Email: Michael.Grotzer@kispi.uzh.ch

Short title: Pediatric papillary tumors of the pineal region

Word count (abstract/paper): 98/1128

Keywords: brain tumor; child; proton beam irradiation; outcome

Abstract

Papillary tumors of the pineal region (PTPR) are rare brain tumors characterized by frequent local recurrences. Standardized treatment strategies are not yet defined. We present the case of a three-year-old girl diagnosed with PTPR. Due to her young age, adjuvant radiotherapy was omitted after gross total tumor resection. Thirty-six months later, local tumor recurrence occurred. Considering the possible risks of secondary surgery, the recurrent tumor was proton-beam irradiated. Three months later, the tumor showed near-complete remission. Based on this experience and other pediatric case reports from the literature, local radiotherapy might be suggested also after complete tumor resection.

Introduction

Tumors of the pineal region account for about 3-4% of all brain tumors in childhood [1]. Papillary tumors of the pineal region (PTPR) represent a rare and histologically distinct subgroup of tumors originating in this area [2,3]. First described by Jouvett *et al.* in 2003 [3], PTPR have been included in the 2007 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Nervous System as a separate tumor entity [4]. Among 70 PTPR reported to date, examples are recognized in both children and adults with ages ranging from 5-67 years (mean, 34 years) [5]. PTPR has a distinctive epithelial character and shows both solid and papillary architecture [3,5,6]. Immunohistochemical analysis is crucial to distinguish PTPR from morphological similar pineal tumors, mainly papillary ependymoma and choroid plexus papilloma [7]. PTPR express strong immunoreactivity for CK18, S100, NCAM, NSE and vimentin. Due to the specific localization of this entity in the region of the posterior commissure and the distinctive immunohistochemical profile, PTPR is presumed to arise from the specialized ependyma of the subcommissural organ. Recent ultrastructural studies have demonstrated that PTPR has concomitant ependymal, neuroendocrine, and secretory features, lending further support to this hypothesis [8]. Despite advances in immunohistochemistry and cytogenetics, the biological behavior of PTPR is still not fully understood. Thus, uniform therapeutic guidelines have not yet been established. Here, we describe a case of PTPR in a three-year old girl with 3.5 years of clinical and neuro-radiological follow up.

Case report

Clinical presentation

A three-year-old girl presented to her pediatrician with a history of new-onset headaches accompanied by nausea, lasting for several hours a day. The neurological examination was

normal and migraine was initially suspected. After symptoms persisted for two weeks, cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed. The MRI revealed a cerebral mass located in the pineal region measuring 2x2.8x3.5cm (Fig. 1A). The tumor displayed dorsal cystic structures and a ventral solid portion with inhomogeneous contrast agent enhancement leading to a compression of the tectum. The consecutive aqueductal stenosis resulted in occlusive hydrocephalus. A ventriculostomy of the third ventricle was performed to reduce intracranial pressure. Two weeks later, the patient underwent gross total tumor resection through a suboccipital supracerebellar approach. Postoperative imaging demonstrated complete resection. On postoperative clinical examination, the girl was found to have a vertical supranuclear gaze palsy, convergence deficit and mid-dilated pupils (Parinaud's syndrome). This functional deficit led to gait instability, particularly when climbing stairs. Further treatment options were thoroughly discussed. Given the young age of the patient and macroscopically gross total tumor resection, the decision was made to omit adjuvant radiotherapy. The girl was followed at 3-month intervals by clinical examinations and cranial MRI. Control intervals were expanded to once every 6 months during the second postoperative year. The clinical course was uneventful, except for persistent vertical gaze palsy. Local tumor recurrence was detected on routine follow-up MRI after 3 years (Fig. 1B). The solid and partially cystic recurrent tumor was located within the third ventricle dorsal-inferior of the interthalamic adhesion without disturbance of cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) circulation, approximately 1.8x0.7x1.3cm in size. At this time, the patient did not show any additional neurological symptoms. The possibility of a second surgery was rejected considering the potential risks of additional neurological damage. Subsequently, it was decided to treat the recurrent tumor with proton-beam radiation, with 54 Gy in fractions of 1.8 Gy per session 5 days a week (total of 30 sessions). At first follow-up, 3 months after completion of therapy, the MRI demonstrated near-complete remission (Fig. 1C).

Histological diagnosis

Histopathological examination revealed a moderately cellular, epithelial tumor with solid and papillary growth patterns (Fig. 1D). Perivascular pseudorosette formation was a prominent feature; however, true rosettes could not be demonstrated. The cytoplasm of the neoplastic cells varied from clear to amphophilic. Areas of necrosis were present and mitotic activity was moderate (4-6/10HPF). Immunohistochemical analysis showed positive cytoplasmic staining for neuron specific enolase (NSE) and MAP2, while S100 protein was inhomogeneously expressed. Some tumor cells, mostly those forming papillary structures, displayed positivity for Pan-cytokeratin (CK). Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) immunolabeling was minimal and restricted to perivascular areas, particularly adjacent to blood vessels. Staining for other neuronal markers like N-Neu, Chromogranin A, Neurofilament 200, Neurofilament 70 and Synaptophysin was negative. The Ki67 proliferation index was up to 10-15%.

Discussion

Grading criteria and therapeutic protocols for PTPR have not yet been established, because of the rarity and relatively new diagnosis in the 2007 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Nervous System. Considering its frequent local recurrence, PTPR is thought to correspond at least to WHO grade II/III. To gain further insight into tumor characteristics, genetic analysis has been performed by comparative genomic hybridization and gene expression studies [9]. Losses on chromosome 10 and 22q and gains on chromosomes 4, 8, 9 and 12 have been detected. Gene expression analysis has shown up-regulation of genes such as ZH4, RFX3, TTR mRNA and CGRP [7,10]. Clinical implication of these findings has not yet been elucidated.

Since its original description by Jouvét *et al.* in 2003, about 70 cases have been reported in literature [5,11]. Among these patients, 10 were children under 16 years of age (Table 1) [5-7,12,13]. Clinical manifestations include headache, diplopia, dizziness and vomiting [5,12-14]. Spinal dissemination is rare at the time of diagnosis. A key feature of PTPR is their high risk for local recurrence. Four out of 6 pediatric patients suffered from local tumor recurrence, the others were lost to follow-up [6,12,13]. Poulgrin *et al.* investigated the 5-year and 10-year progression free survival of all reported cases to date, which has been estimated at 34.5% and 8.6%, respectively [5]. Fèvre-Montange *et al.* performed univariate analysis to test the prognostic significance of clinical variables such as age, gender, tumor size, gross total tumor resection and adjuvant radiotherapy [6]. The only clinical factor that tended to be associated with overall survival and recurrence was the extent of resection [6].

Standardization of treatment regimen is not yet determined due to the lack of reliable clinical and biological predictors and the small number of cases with careful follow-up. Maximal surgical tumor resection is suggested as the first line procedure. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy have been adopted as further treatment options yet [5]. Radiotherapy has been applied in about two thirds of all reported patients using different protocols and methods [5]. In the current report, radiotherapy was initially avoided because of the young age of the patient and total tumor resection. However, tumor relapse occurred 3 years after diagnosis, confirming the high risk of local recurrence in PTPR. With respect to the apparent high propensity of local recurrence, radiotherapy might be suggested as an additional therapeutic option, even in young patients with gross total tumor resection. Especially in young patients, proton-beam irradiation is superior in terms of minimizing the risk of damage to adjacent normal tissue.

References

1. Drummond KJ, Rosenfeld JV. Pineal region tumours in childhood. A 30-year experience. *Childs Nerv Syst* 1999;15(2-3):119-126; discussion 127.
2. De Girolami U, Fevre-Montange M, Seilhean D, et al. Pathology of tumors of the pineal region. *Revue neurologique* 2008;164(11):882-895.
3. Jouvett A, Fauchon F, Liberski P, et al. Papillary tumor of the pineal region. *The American journal of surgical pathology* 2003;27(4):505-512.
4. Roncaroli F, Scheithauer BW. Papillary tumor of the pineal region and spindle cell oncocytoma of the pituitary: new tumor entities in the 2007 WHO Classification. *Brain Pathol* 2007;17(3):314-318.
5. Poulgrain K, Gurgu R, Winter C, et al. Papillary tumour of the pineal region. *Journal of clinical neuroscience : official journal of the Neurosurgical Society of Australasia* 2011;18(8):1007-1017.
6. Fevre-Montange M, Hasselblatt M, Figarella-Branger D, et al. Prognosis and histopathologic features in papillary tumors of the pineal region: a retrospective multicenter study of 31 cases. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 2006;65(10):1004-1011.
7. Hasselblatt M, Blumcke I, Jeibmann A, et al. Immunohistochemical profile and chromosomal imbalances in papillary tumours of the pineal region. *Neuropathology and applied neurobiology* 2006;32(3):278-283.
8. Cykowski MD, Wartchow EP, Mierau GW, et al. Papillary tumor of the pineal region: ultrastructural study of a case. *Ultrastructural pathology* 2012;36(1):68-77.
9. Gutenberg A, Brandis A, Hong B, et al. Common Molecular Cytogenetic Pathway in Papillary Tumors of the Pineal Region (PTPR). *Brain Pathol* 2011.
10. Fevre-Montange M, Champier J, Szathmari A, et al. Microarray analysis reveals differential gene expression patterns in tumors of the pineal region. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 2006;65(7):675-684.
11. Santoro A, D'Elia A, Fazzolari B, et al. Four-year clinical and neuroradiological follow-up of a papillary tumor of the pineal region. *Neurological sciences : official journal of the Italian Neurological Society and of the Italian Society of Clinical Neurophysiology* 2011.
12. Buffenoir K, Rigoard P, Wager M, et al. Papillary tumor of the pineal region in a child: case report and review of the literature. *Child's nervous system : ChNS : official journal of the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery* 2008;24(3):379-384.
13. Li J, Recinos PF, Orr BA, et al. Papillary tumor of the pineal region in a 15-month-old boy. *Journal of neurosurgery Pediatrics* 2011;7(5):534-538.
14. Junior GV, Dellaretti M, de Carvalho GT, et al. Papillary tumor of the pineal region. *Brain tumor pathology* 2011;28(4):329-334.

Figure 1. A) Sagittal preoperative T2-weighted MRI showing a cerebral mass with cystic portions located in the pineal region. B) Local tumor recurrence 36 months after complete resection. C) Axial T2-weighted MRI obtained after proton-beam-therapy. A small residual tumor is seen only on axial slices (arrow). D) Histopathological features of PTPR demonstrating solid and papillary growth pattern with perivascular pseudorosette formation.

Table 1. Pediatric patients with PTPR. Treatment modalities and outcome.

Case [Reference]	Age (years)	Gender	Tumor size (mm)	Operation	Adjuvant therapy	Follow- up (months)	Recurrence (months)
1 [15]	1 3/12	M	10	IR	CT, RT	15	NA
2 [7]	5	F	28	CR	CT, RT	24	21
3 [8]	11	F	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4 [7]	11	F	30	IR, CR	CT, RT	79	72
5 [7]	13	M	NA	CR	RT	5	NA
6 [14]	13	M	31	CR	RT	15	NA
7 [7]	14	M	NA	CR	NA	NA	NA
8 [7]	14	M	50	CR	CT, RT	102	44, 53, 88
9 [8]	14	M	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10 [8]	14	M	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = not available, M = male, F = Female, CR = complete resection, IR = incomplete resection, CT = Chemotherapy, RT = Radiotherapy

